CLAIM LISTING

Please amend claim 1, 15, 18, 22, and 36 as indicated below.

- 1. (Currently amended) A system for translation of data types between a first application in a first language and a second application in a second language, the system comprising:
 - a computer having at least one processor and a memory
- a formal mapping between data types between of the first language and data types of the second language[[:]];

translators for translating data types between the first language and the second language based on the formal mapping;

a translation mapping to the translators based on actual data types of the first application and formal data types of the second application; and

a module for automatically selecting an appropriate translator for translating between a particular data type in the first language and a data types in the second language based on the translation mapping in response to invocation of a method of the first application with the particular data type,

wherein the module for selecting the appropriate translator is configured to perform at least a two-level lookup in the translation mapping to select the appropriate translator,

wherein <u>a first level</u> at least one level of the two-level lookup includes a lookup of an inheritance hierarchy of the actual type to select the appropriate translator and,

wherein a second level lookup of the two-level lookup includes a lookup based on formal data type of the second application.

- 2. (Previously presented) The system of claim 1, wherein the first language comprises C# and the second language comprises a language that is configured to conform with a JAVA language specification.
- 3. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the formal mapping comprises a mapping between formal types of the first language and formal types of the second language.

- 4. (Original) The system of claim 3, wherein the formal types comprise static types.
- 5. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the formal mapping comprises a many-to-one mapping.
- 6. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translators marshal translated data into a wire format for transfer from the first application to the second application across a network.
- 7. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translators read data of a first type and write data of a second type.
- 8. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translators include a mechanism for determining the actual type in the first language that a particular translator supports.
- 9. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translators include a mechanism for determining the formal type in the second language that a particular translator supports.
- 10. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translators provide information needed for creating the translation mapping.
- 11. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translators translate return values received from the second application into a format appropriate for the first application.
- 12. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translation mapping provides for navigation from an object of the first application to a formal type of the second application's environment.
- 13. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the translation mapping comprises a mapping from actual type of the first application and formal type of the second application to a particular translator.

- 14. (Canceled)
- 15. (Currently amended) The system of claim [[14]] 1, wherein the two level lookup includes a first level lookup based on actual data type of the first application.
- 16. (Canceled)
- 17. (Canceled)
- 18. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 1[[7]], wherein the second level lookup selects the appropriate translator from a set of translators determined by the first level lookup.
- 19. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the module for selecting an appropriate translator determines if the mapping includes at least one translator for the particular data type.
- 20. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the module for selecting an appropriate translator determines if the mapping includes at least one translator for interfaces of the particular data type.
- 21. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein the module for selecting an appropriate translator determines if the mapping includes at least one translator for base types of the particular data type.
- 22. (Currently Amended) A method for translation of data types between a first component in a first language and a second component in a second language, the method comprising:

defining a formal mapping between data types of the first language and data types of the second language;

implementing translators based on the formal mapping for translating data types between the first language and the second language;

producing a programming interface for the first component based upon the formal mapping and the second component's programming interface;

generating a translation mapping to the translators based on actual data types of the first component and formal data types of the second component as defined in the first component's programming interface;

in response to invocation of a method defined in the first component's programming interface with a particular data type, automatically selecting a translator based on the translation mapping and the particular data type; and

translating the particular data type to a data type of the second language using the selected translator,

wherein automatically selecting the translator includes performing a two-level lookup in the translation mapping,

wherein performing a <u>first level of</u> the two-level lookup includes looking up an inheritance hierarchy of the actual type, <u>and</u>

wherein performing a second level of the two-level lookup includes a lookup based on formal data type of the second component.

- 23. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the first component comprises an application on a first machine and the second component comprises an application on a second machine.
- 24. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the first component comprises a first component of an application and the second component comprises a second component of the application.
- 25. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the first component and the second component operate within a single process.
- 26. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the defining step includes defining a mapping between formal types of the first language and formal types of the second language.
- 27. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the defining step includes defining a many-to-one mapping.

- 28. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the implementing step includes implementing a translator for marshaling translated data into a wire format for transfer from the first component to the second component across a network.
- 29. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the implementing step includes implementing a translator reading data of a first type and writing data of a second type.
- 30. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the implementing step includes indicating the actual type in the first language that a particular translator supports.
- 31. (Previously presented) The method of claim 22, wherein the implementing step includes indicating the formal type in the second language that a particular translator supports.
- 32. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the generating step includes generating the translation mapping based, at least in part, on information provided by the translators.
- 33. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the translation mapping provides for navigation from an object of the first component to the formal type of the second component's environment.
- 34. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the translation mapping comprises a mapping from actual type of the first component and formal type of the second component to a particular translator.
- 35. (Canceled)
- 36. (Currently amended) The method of claim [[35]] <u>22</u>, wherein the two level lookup includes a first level lookup based on actual data type of the first component.
- 37. (Canceled)

- 38. (Canceled)
- 39. (Original) The method of claim 38, wherein the second level lookup includes selecting a translator from a set of translators determined by the first level lookup based on formal data type.
- 40. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the selecting step includes determining if the translation mapping includes at least one translator for the particular data type.
- 41. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the selecting step includes determining if the translation mapping includes at least one translator for interfaces of the particular data type.
- 42. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the selecting step includes determining if the translation mapping includes at least one translator for base types of the particular data type.
- 43. (Original) The method of claim 22, further comprising: translating return values received from the second component into a data type of the first component's environment using the selected translator.
- 44. (Previously presented) The method of claim 22, wherein the first language is C# and the second language is a language that is configured to conform with a Java language specification.
- 45. (Previously presented) The method of claim 22, wherein the second language is C# and the first language is a language that is configured to conform with a Java language specification.
- 46. (Previously presented) The method of claim 22, further comprising:

copying to a computer-readable medium processor-executable instructions for performing the method of claim 22; and

executing said processor-executable instructions upon placement of the computer-readable medium in a computer.

47. (Previously presented) The method of claim 22, further comprising:

downloading a set of processor-executable instructions for performing the method of claim 22; and

executing said processor-executable instructions in a computer upon completion of the downloading step.